IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

NANCY REID,

No. 3:12-cv-00747-ST

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

OPTUMHEALTH CARE SOLUTIONS, INC., and UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INCORPORATED,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On October 11, 2012, Magistrate Judge Stewart issued her Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") [43] in the above-captioned case, recommending that defendants' motion to compel arbitration [7] be granted in part and denied in part. Specifically, she recommended that the Arbitration Policy's limitations on the production of documents and depositions be severed and that the parties be ordered into arbitration with that restriction. In addition, she recommended that this action be dismissed with prejudice and without any award of attorney fees to defendants. Plaintiff filed objections [45], and defendants responded [47].

Case 3:12-cv-00747-ST Document 48 Filed 12/28/12 Page 2 of 3

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may

file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge,

but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to

make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or

recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court

is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. See

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121

(9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R

depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject,

or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Stewart's recommendation, and I ADOPT the F&R [43]

as my own opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 28 day of December, 2012.

/s/Michael W. Mosman

MICHAEL W. MOSMAN

United States District Judge